

Proposal Defense Assessment Form

Instruction: Please fill in Section A to D and submit the form to the FKJ Postgraduate Section after the evaluation.

SECTION A: STUDENT'S INFORMATION

Name	:	
Matric No.	:	
Programme	:	
Level (Master/PhD)	:	
Total No of Registered Semester	:	
Thesis Title	:	

SECTION B: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Instruction: Please indicate your response by completing the mark section based on the rubric and the fractional marks for each item. The overall mark is **100%**.

Program Learning Outcome	Item Assessed	Unacceptable (1)	Acceptable (2)	Moderate (3)	Good (4)	Very Good (5)	Marks (%)
PLO1	Abstract	Missed two or more of the following item and badly written: Research problem and why it is important, research objectives, research methods, analysis and key findings	Missed one of the following item and badly written: Research problem and why it is important, research objectives, research methods, analysis and key findings	Has all the following item and fairly written: Research problem and why it is important, research objectives, research methods, analysis and key findings	Has all the following item and clearly written: Research problem and why it is important, research objectives, research methods, analysis and key findings	Has all the following item and excellently written: Research problem and why it is important, research objectives, research methods, analysis and key findings	/5
PLO2	Introduction	The problem being investigated (objectives / questions / hypotheses), supporting literature, justification for the study, importance of the study, and limitations / scope of the study are not stated.	The problem being investigated (objectives / questions / hypotheses), supporting literature, justification for the study, importance of the study, and limitations / scope of the study are vaguely stated.	The problem being investigated (objectives / questions / hypotheses), supporting literature, justification for the study, importance of the study, and limitations / scope of the study are satisfactorily stated.	The problem being investigated (objectives / questions / hypotheses), supporting literature, justification for the study, importance of the study, and limitations / scope of the study are clearly stated.	The problem being investigated (objectives / questions / hypotheses), supporting literature, justification for the study, importance of the study, and limitations / scope of the study are comprehensively stated.	/20

PLO3	Literature Review (LR)	The LR is not organized around major themes relevant to critical and current published knowledge. Poor understanding of topic, inadequate research or very little research.	The LR is vaguely organized around major themes relevant to critical and current published knowledge. Insufficient literature research or may contain unrelated materials.	The LR is satisfactorily organized around major themes relevant to critical and current published knowledge. Sufficient and relevant literature research.	The LR is clearly organized around major themes relevant to critical and current published knowledge. Analyze and summarize various literature reviews from various academic sources	stated. The LR is comprehensively organized around major themes relevant to critical and current published knowledge. Literature review is extensive and takes into account the state of the art.	/25
PLO4	Research Methodology (RM)	RM provides very minimal description of the research design and approach. Very weak justification for using the design and approach derives logically from the problem or issue statement.. No/Invalid description of instruments and data that comprise each variable in the study.	RM provides minimal description of the research design and approach. Weak justification for using the design and approach derives logically from the problem or issue statement. Less description of instruments and data that comprise each variable in the study.	RM provides adequate description of the research design and approach. Acceptable justification for using the design and approach derives logically from the problem or issue statement. Sufficient description of instruments and data that comprise each variable in the study.	RM provides good description of the research design and approach. Good justification for using the design and approach derives logically from the problem or issue statement. Good description of instruments and data that comprise each variable in the study.	RM provides very good description of the research design and approach. Very good justification for using the design and approach derives logically from the problem or issue statement. Very good description of instruments and data that comprise each variable in the study.	/30
PLO7	Expected Findings	The expected key findings of the study are not stated .	The expected key findings of the study are not clearly stated . The expected findings are inconsistent with the objectives of the study.	The expected key findings of the study are satisfactorily stated . The expected findings are in line with the objectives of the study.	The expected key findings of the study are clearly stated . The expected findings are consistent with the objectives of the study.	The expected key findings of the study are very clearly stated . The expected findings are highly consistent with the objectives of the study.	/15
PLO4	References and citations	Sources of reference are unreliable . None of the sources of citations are stated in the text and in the list of references. References are not written according to the prescribed format .	Sources of reference are not very reliable . Not all sources of citations are stated in the text and in the list of references. References are written according to the prescribed format .	Sources of reference are suitable (from verified journals or original sources). All sources of citations are stated in the text and in the list of references. References are written according to the prescribed format .	Sources of reference are reliable (from verified journals or original sources). All sources of citations are stated in the text and in the list of references. References are written according to the prescribed format .	Sources of reference are very reliable (from verified journals or original sources). All sources of citations are stated in the text and in the list of references. References are written according to the prescribed format .	/5
Total Marks (/100%)							

SECTION C: RESULT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Instruction: Please tick and provide comments and recommendations where appropriate.

Total Marks	Interpretation	Results of Evaluation
> 65	Satisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Recommended to proceed to the next stage Remarks:

< 65	Unsatisfactory	<input type="checkbox"/> Not recommended to proceed to the next stage Remarks: <input type="checkbox"/> Resubmit corrected proposal within ____ month <input type="checkbox"/> Check by supervisor <input type="checkbox"/> Others:

<p><i>Suggestion for improvement. Use another sheet of paper if there is not enough space.</i></p>

SECTION D: VERIFICATION OF RESULT

EXAMINER

Name:

Signature:

Date:

CHAIRPERSON

Name:

Signature:

Date: